UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,102	01/31/2002	Cary Lee Bates	END920010052US1	9951
23550 HOFFMAN WA	7590 03/24/200 <b>ARNICK LLC</b>	EXAMINER		
75 STATE STR		BASOM, BLAINE T		
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY	12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/062,102	BATES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Blaine Basom	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	cowable if submitted in a separate, t  will not be entered, or b)   will	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	rill <u>not</u> be
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and was not earlier presented. Se n of the status of the claims after er	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) htry is below or attache	o. ed.
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> </ul>		condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:	1 10/06/00/1 aper 110(5).		
/Kieu D Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. The Applicants argue that Fleming (U.S. Patent No. 5,664,210 to Fleming et al.) and Habib (U.S. Patent No. 5,694,610 to Habib et al.) fail to teach the claimed methods, which are adapted to allow selection of a second set of data that overlaps a first set of data, as added by Applicants' amendments. In response, the Examiner respectfully submits that, in the Final Office Action mailed on December 1, 2008, Habib was NOT relied on to teach the limitation, "wherein the method is adapted to allow selection of the second set of data that overlaps the first set of data." Instead, the Examiner presented the teachings of Hussam (PCT Application Publication No. WO 01/29701 A1 to Hussam). As noted on page 7 of the Final Office Action, Hussam teaches allowing a user to select multiple sets of data in an application, including allowing selection of a second set of data that overlaps a first set of data. The Examiner respectfully maintains this assertion, and thereby maintains that Fleming and Hussam, in combination, teach a method for selecting multiple sets of data in an application, wherein the method is adapted to allow selection of the second set of data that overlaps the first set of data, as is now claimed.